

1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = no opinion; 4 = agree; 5 = strongly agree 1  
REGIONAL SELECTIVES

## ARL Survey of Regional and ARL Selective Depository Libraries – Summary of Likert Analysis

Use of the Likert scale in the ARL Survey of Regional and ARL Selective Federal Depository Libraries provides a current snapshot of Regional and Selective Depository Libraries. Regional respondents were asked to respond to 21 statements, Selectives were asked to respond to 19 statements. The following scale of 1 through 5 was utilized:

Scale 1	Strongly Disagree
Scale 2	Disagree
Scale 3	No Opinion
Scale 4	Agree
Scale 5	Strongly Agree

Scale 1 and 2 were combined as Disagree; Scale 4 and 5 were combined as Agree.

**1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = no opinion; 4 = agree; 5 = strongly agree** 2  
**REGIONAL SELECTIVES**

	<b>STATEMENTS</b>	<u>DISAGREE</u>	<u>N/O</u>	<u>AGREE</u>	<u>DISAGR EE</u>	<u>N/O</u>	<u>AGREE</u>
		<b>1+2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4+5</b>	<b>1+2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4+5</b>
<b>1.</b>	Space for print and tangible electronic collections is sufficient.	<b>35</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>76.7</b>
<b>2.</b>	The department has adequate professional staff.	<b>42.5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>42.5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>68.3</b>
<b>3.</b>	The department has adequate support staff.	<b>37.5</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>47.5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>4.</b>	The department has adequate temporary staff (students/graduate assistants).	<b>27.5</b>	<b>17.5</b>	<b>47.5</b>	<b>21.6</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>66.7</b>
<b>5.</b>	Support to purchase needed supplementary resources and services is adequate.	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>68.3</b>
<b>6.</b>	Support for operational costs of being a regional/selective Federal depository library is increasing at my library.	<b>50</b>	<b>17.5</b>	<b>32.5</b>	<b>41.6</b>	<b>28.3</b>	<b>28.3</b>
<b>7.</b>	The documents collection/services are frequently used.	<b>7.5</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>8.</b>	Promotion of the collection/services by the library would increase use.	<b>2.5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>82.5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>78.4</b>
<b>9.</b>	The staff has adequate support to conduct training sessions on the use of electronic resources for selective Federal depository libraries in my state or region.	<b>45</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>			
<b>10.</b>	The staff has adequate support to conduct training sessions on processing and collection issues for selective Federal depository libraries in my state or region.	<b>45</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>			
<b>11.</b>	I am prepared to expand services to the selective Federal depository libraries in my state or region.	<b>35</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45</b>			
<b>12.</b>	A more electronic GPO has improved public service.	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>13.</b>	Training sessions conducted by GPO for regional Federal depository library staff would benefit the selective Federal depository libraries in my state or region.	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>75</b>			
<b>14.</b>	Marketing of regional Federal depository library services by GPO to selective Federal depository libraries in my state or region would be a benefit.	<b>10</b>	<b>22.5</b>	<b>65.5</b>			

1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = no opinion; 4 = agree; 5 = strongly agree 3

REGIONAL SELECTIVES

		<b>DISAGREE</b>	<b>N/O</b>	<b>AGREE</b>	<b>DISAGR EE</b>	<b>N/O</b>	<b>AGREE</b>
<b>15.</b>	A national public awareness campaign coordinated by GPO and focusing on Federal depository library services would be a benefit.	<b>7.5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>87.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>88.3</b>
<b>16.</b>	Federal depository library services in my state or region would improve if GPO replaced the self- study/inspection process with field consultants located in my or a neighboring regional library.	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>50</b>			
<b>17.</b>	If GPO provided standards and guidance, my library would consider partnering with GPO on an electronic or digital project.	<b>12.5</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>67.5</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>28.3</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>18.</b>	My library would consider being a regional for selective Federal depository libraries in a neighboring state.	<b>50</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>22.5</b>			
<b>19.</b>	Our library conforms to the GPO “Instructions to Depositories” and the “Federal Depository Library Manual, Supplement 2: Guidelines for the Federal Depository Library Program” with respect to staffing and other support.	<b>15</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>72.5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>20.</b>	The level of staffing and support for our library’s government document collection is generally satisfactory (on par) in relationship to the library’s support of other collections.	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>81.7</b>
<b>21.</b>	The “Instructions to Depository Libraries” and the “Federal Depository Library Manual, Supplement 2: Guidelines for the Federal Depository Library Program” is in need of significant revision to conform to current practice and the emergence of networked access to government information.	<b>0</b>	<b>17.5</b>	<b>82.5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31.7</b>	<b>68.3</b>
<b>22.</b>	Support from the regional federal depository library on processing and collection issues would be a benefit.				<b>16.7</b>	<b>31.7</b>	<b>51.7</b>
<b>23.</b>	Training on electronic resources from the regional federal depository library would be a benefit.				<b>10</b>	<b>26.7</b>	<b>63.3</b>
<b>24.</b>	Training sessions conducted by GPO in my state or region would be a benefit.				<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>85</b>

**1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = no opinion; 4 = agree; 5 = strongly agree** 4  
**REGIONAL SELECTIVES**

				<u>DISAGR</u>	<u>N/O</u>	<u>AGREE</u>
<b>25.</b>	The GPO self-study/inspection process is a benefit to my federal depository library services.			<b>11.7</b>	<b>28.3</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>26.</b>	Communication from the Public Printer and/or the Superintendent of Documents to my dean/director is a benefit.			<b>3.4</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>85</b>